**ITEM NO:** 8.00

TITLE Balanced Scorecard – 2013/14 Quarter 4 Report

FOR CONSIDERATION BY

Overview & Scrutiny Committee on 23 June 2014

WARD None specific

**STRATEGIC DIRECTOR** Andrew Moulton, Head of Governance &

Improvement Services

#### OUTCOME

Improved performance in those areas of activity that are seen as a priority for the Council.

#### RECOMMENDATION

To note the latest scorecard of performance indicators and agree any corrective action required.

#### **SUMMARY OF REPORT**

Part 1 of the report shows the performance exceptions. At the end of March 2014 there were twenty one areas of performance that were below target, six at "Red" status and fifteen "Amber." There is a commentary against each of these indicators explaining the reasons for the performance and how improvement is being sought.

The second part of the report at Appendix A shows all the other indicators.

## **Background**

Since the launch of the Council's Vision in 2012, it has become increasingly clear that current performance indictor framework required review. This report proposes a new performance reporting framework that reflects a "balanced scorecard" approach to performance management bringing together financial, staffing, and operational/project information.

The benefits of the Balanced Scorecard approach are:-

- It balances financial and non-financial views of the Council
- It aligns the Council's Vision, Priorities and Principles with the staffing and financial resources, and with day to day operations.
- It helps communicate the Council's vision aligning with the performance improvement project (dials)
- It increases individual and collective accountability

# **Analysis of Issues**

None

| List of Background Papers |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| None                      |  |

| Contact Andrew Moulton           | Service Governance & Improvement |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>Telephone No</b> 07747 777298 | Email                            |
| -                                | andrew.moulton@wokingham.gov.uk  |
| <b>Date</b> 11 June 2014         | Version No. 1                    |

# **Balanced Scorecard 4th Qtr Report 2014**

Part 1 Report by Exception

| No   | Indicator   | Frequency of Reporting  | Comparator<br>Period | Baseline<br>Performance              | Target 2013/14   | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline      | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline   | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member                    | Strat Director      | Indicator description  |
|------|---|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Tab  | le 1 Children   |   |                      |                                      |  |                                 |                    |                    |                         |                  |           |   |                                   |                                |                     |  |
| 6    | Safeguarding: % of referrals that are repeat referrals to Children's Social Care  | Quarterly<br>switched to<br>monthly<br>reporting<br>after 1st qtr |                      | See note                             | 20 to 23%  | Low                             |                    |                    |                         | 41.8%            | Red       |   | <b>\</b>                          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden   | Year to date 29.7% amber for the year. The rate of re-referrals may be linked to changes to the pathway followed to access services for children and preparatory work on eligibility thresholds. An audit is now taking place to investigate our new practices and application of the agreed levels of need. |
| Narı | owing the Gap   |   |                      |                                      |  |                                 |                    |                    |                         |                  |           |   |                                   |                                |                     |  |
| 15   | Youth Offending: rate of re-offending   | Quarterly   | Previous<br>quarter  | 2012/13<br>24.3%                     | Reduction on previous year                                   | Low                             |                    |                    |                         | 30.0%            | Amber     |   | <b>\</b>                          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden   | 21.2 to date Green for the year. Baseline: Last completed 12 month cohort was 27/111 = 24.3% The 2013/14 financial year cohort is 66 young people.  Q1 - 11.5% Q2 30.0% Q3 25% Q4 30% ful year result is 21.2%   |
| Adu  | It Social Care – outcomes – these measure   | es relate t   | o safety a           | nd quality of li                     | ie   |                                 |                    |                    |                         |                  |           |   |                                   |                                |                     |  |
| 19   | Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct payments   | Quarterly   | Previous<br>quarter  | SE average<br>61% @ qtr3<br>2012     | 68% by qtr 1, 70%<br>by qtr 2, 70% by qtr<br>3, 70% by qtr 4 | High                            |                    |                    | No data recorded in Oct | 61.5             | Red       | N <mark>o data</mark><br>recor <mark>ded i</mark> n Jan | $\downarrow$                      | Julian<br>McGhee-<br>Sumner    | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |  |
| 20   | Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services ** (NHSOF 3.6i) | Quarterly   | Previous<br>year     | National<br>average<br>2011/12 82.6% | 85%  | High                            |                    |                    |                         | 65.3             | Red       |   | $\rightarrow$                     | Julian<br>McGhee-<br>Sumner    | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |  |
| Qua  | lity of life Outcome Indicator Commentary   | ,   |                      |                                      |  |                                 |                    |                    |                         |                  |           |   |                                   |                                | •                   |  |

Work has been carried out on the Self Directed Support indicator to capture all those who are receiving a Personal Budget and are receiving long term social care services in the community. The indicator is dropping as many people receive short term services and don't go on to have a personal budget set but are still included in the denominator for this indicator. Currently benchmarking activity to look at comparison with other areas.

Reablement figures have now been collated for those people who were discharged into reablement services between October and December and were still at home 91 days later. This combines Intermediate Care (60.9%) and START services (74.2%).

## **Tenant Services**

| Percentage and number of properties with valid Gas Safety Certificate Monti | ly Previous month | LGSR completed | 100% gas safety compliance | High 99.62% | Amber | Stuart pwbotham |
|---|-------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|

### **Tenant Services Indicator Commentary**

#### Gas Servicing

This month has seen a slight improvement in performance. BSW's staffing issues have now been resolved. They have replaced one of their administrative team with a more experienced member of staff. We are expecting this will lead to continued performance improvements.

# Health and Wellbeing – Improving health, wellbeing and quality of life

| To reduce Road Casualties, (Killed and Seriously Injured) | Annually | Previous<br>year | 2010 = 37,<br>2011 = 46,<br>2012 = 38 | 41 | Low | 52 | Amber | <b>→</b> | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites | Annual totals only – available sometime after the event the need is to continue the medium term downward trend |
|---|----------|------------------|---------------------------------------|----|-----|----|-------|----------|-------------|---------------------|--|

# Health and Wellbeing – Improving health, wellbeing and quality of life indicator commentary

30. The number of KSI's for this year is the highest since 2007 (59). The overall number of casualties has reduced from 367 last year to 314 this year. The trend over the last 10 years is still downwards. We will continue to deliver a programme of Local Safety Schemes. Traffic congestion = tackling congestion in specific areas of the Borough Journey Time Surveys from Model / Observation, Data is available but awaiting software to analyse. 2011-2012 = Heather Previous Annually <= baseline 3.07 Amber Keith Baker Average travel time on chosen roads Low 2.96 Thwaites Chosen roads are the main roads that travel from vear north to south and east to west through the borough Traffic congestion = tackling congestion in specific areas of the Borough indicator commentary Congestion has increased marginally when compared to the baseline performance. Major ultities work, flooding and planned works has had some impact on the network over the last 12 months. The Congestion Alleviation Team has been set up to tackling congestion hotspots and the My Journey sustainable travel nitiatives are continuing to provide alternatives transport options and provide residents with personal travel planning advice. The MyJourney team will be producing a travel app to further promote sustainable travel to residents across the borough along with additional journey planning tools to enable residents to make informed decisions on travel options. Waste and Recycling – improving waste collection, reducing landfill and increasing recycling 2010/11 = Lanfill has increased in 2013/14 due to: 1, Higher 20.6%, 2011/12 Heather allocation and tonnage at HWRs & 2, An increase in Previous <10% 15% **Amber** 32 % of Municipal waste land-filled Annually Low Angus Ross = 15.3%,Thwaites street sweepings which prior to May 2012 had been vear 2012/13 = 10% recycled. 2010/11 = Recycling reduced by around 3% due to street 41.1%, 2011/12 Previous Heather >45% 42% High 33 % of household waste recycled Annually Amber Angus Ross sweepings going to landfill rather than being = 42.9%. Thwaites vear recycled. 2012/13 = 41% **Customer Satisfaction – improving the customer experience** 2010/11 = Overall satisfaction with highways and transport Previous Heather 53.9%. 2011/12 >54% 53.20% Annually High **Amber** Keith Baker From annual NHT survey. service year Thwaites = 54%, 2012/13 External customer satisfaction (support services) % first contact resolution - calls and emails Monthly Previous Pauline 43 65% 55.10% Graham Ebers month 55% Amber Jorgensen Monthly Previous Pauline 87.60% 44 % of calls answered 93% 95% **Amber** Graham Ebers month Jorgensen External customer satisfaction (support services) indicator commentary 43 & 44 These indicators are not weighted to account for busy months, March is a very busy month with council tax, benefits, waste renewals and delivery of blue bags all happening at once. Value for Money (support services) Population served per Corporate Finance Staff Annually Anthony Graham Ebers Change in ONS population Stats. Middle 49a Member c/w 2011/12 Upper Quartile Upper Quartile **Amber** Low Pollock Quartile Project Delivery Group 2: Pauline Previous 53 WISER project status Monthly Graham Ebers "Green status Green **Amber Amber** month Jorgensen Project Delivery Group 2 indicator commentary 53. The project has now officially moved into formal integrated system testing. The outstanding commercial challenges between WBC and UNIT4's Managing Director and the WBC project board. There are a number of challenges faced on the project which means that 1st September will be the appropriate go live date to manage business risk and impact.

| Number of Benefit Sanctions Applied  Monthly Previous Month  Monthly Previous Monthly Previous Month  Monthly Previous Monthly Previous Month  Monthly Previous Monthly Monthly Previous Monthly Monthly Previous Monthly Monthly Previous Monthly Monthly Previous Monthly Pre | В  | usiness Improvement |       |              |    |      |          |    |       |   |                       |   |
|--|----|---------------------|-------|--------------|----|------|----------|----|-------|---|-----------------------|---|
|  | 68 |                     | Month | 2011/12 - 26 | 23 | High | previous | 16 | Amber | _ | <br>Andrew<br>Moulton | However currently 14 cases with SLS awaiting legal action in Court plus 1 case where arrest warrant |

### **Business Improvement indicator commentary**

Benefits Sanction:

The team have not met the sanctions target for 2013/14, though there are currently 12 cases that were investigated during 2013/14 pending legal action. 5 cases are awaiting Sanction Panel decision and two sanctions are being claimed in April 2014 as a result of the investigations being carried out in 2013/14.

### **Sustainable Communities**

| 54  | Number of dwellings completed Annual   | Previous<br>year    | 273 (11/12)         | 1232 | High |   | 490     | Red   | <b>↑</b>     | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; | New target set 1232 from 926. Whilst number of dwellings is below target, latest forecasts indicates delivery will increase and that requirements will be achieved   |
|-----|--|---------------------|---------------------|------|------|---|---------|-------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| 55  | Number of affordable dwellings completed Annual                                      | Previous<br>year    | est 90 (12/13)      | 100  | High |   | 91      | Amber | $\uparrow$   | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Number of affordable completions will increase in future alongside higher rates of housing delivery.   |
| 59  | Number of dwellings permitted (countable within the 5 year land supply)              | Previous<br>year    | 222                 | 1232 | High |   | 230     | Red   | <b>↑</b>     | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; | New target set 1232 from 926. Commentary - whilst number of schemes permitted below target, authority at 1/4/14 had resolved to grant permission for further 900 dwellings. Therefore likely will achieve target during 2014/15. Furthermore, through inclusion of allocated sites in housing land supply, authority has at least a 5yr supply at 1/4/14.        |
| 60  | Numbers of affordable dwellings permitted (inc where off site contribution received) | Previous<br>year    | 6% (13 in<br>11/12) | 30%  | High |   | 23%     | Amber | <b>↑</b>     |             | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Commentary - Percentage below target is a consequence of a significant number of permissions on sites providing up to 9 dwellings which do not require affordable housing together with a number of prior approvals for conversion of offices to residential. With more applications for large scale developments associated with SDL expected, % will increase. |
| 63a | % of minor planning applications decided within national KPI timeframes.             | Previous<br>month   | 69%                 | 65%  | High | ı | <br>59% | Amber | $\downarrow$ | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; |  |
| 64  | % of major applications approved by the Council Quarterly                            | Previous<br>quarter | 72% (to Dec)        | 80%  | High |   | 50%     | Red   | $\downarrow$ | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Numbers are very small for this return for the Jan - March 2014 qtr with only 2 major applications determined and 1 refused.   |

### **Development & Regeneration indicator commentary**

63a – The national KPI is an annual return and we are currently achieving 68% within agreed timescales for the cumulative January – March 2014 period. We are continuing to increase the use of ETA's where appropriate although decision speed has dipped slightly during March.

Part 2 Full Report

| No | lı  |  | Frequency of Reporting |           | Baseline<br>Performance | Target 2013/14 | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member | Strat Director | Indicator description |
|----|-----|--|------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Н  | uma | an Resources                                     |                        |           |                         |                |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                  |           |                 |                                   |             |                |                       |
|    | 1   | Absence, number of working days lost to sickness | Quarterly              | Prev Year | 5.97                    | 7              | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 6.07             | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | Rob Stanton | Graham Ebers   | 3                     |
| 2  | 2   | Turnover of staff                                | Quarterly              | Prev Year | 10 - 15%                | 10 -15%        | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 15.49%           | Green     |                 | $\rightarrow$                     | Rob Stanton | Graham Ebers   | 5                     |
|    |     |  | •                      | •         | · '                     |                | •                               |                    | •                  | •                  |                  |           |                 |                                   | •           | •              |                       |

# Human Resources Indicator Commentary

Absence management is closely monitored within services, supported by HR Business Partners. Turnover of staff is on target and as expected.

Finance

# Finance report - see Revenue and Capital Monitoring Reports

4 Reported quarterly to the executive 25th July 2013, 31st October 2013, 30th Jan 2013 and 29th May 2014

#### Table 1 Children

| Keeping Children Safe  |   |                     |               |   |                            |                              |                                       |       |       |                   |                                |                   |  |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--|
|  |   |                     |               |   |                            | 201                          |                                       |       |       |                   |                                |                   |  |
| Safeguarding: Children subject to a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time  | Quarterly switched to monthly                                     | Previous quarter    | See note      | 12 to 14%   | Low                        | 0%<br>throughou<br>the Qtr 2 |                                       | 0%    | Green | <br>$\rightarrow$ | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 11% year to date Green for the year  |
| Safeguarding: Children subject to a Child Protection Plan lasting over 2 years             | Quarterly   | Previous<br>quarter | Q1 9.1%       | For CLT only. Internal target of 3- 7%no target yet set | Low, but<br>not too<br>low |                              | 0%<br>throughout<br>the 3rd Qtr       | 0%    | Green | <br>$\rightarrow$ | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 3.7% year to date Green for the year   |
| Safeguarding: % of referrals that are repeat referrals to Children's Social Care  6        | switched to<br>monthly<br>reporting<br>after 1st qtr              |                     | See note      | 20 to 23%   | Low                        |                              | ••                                    | 41.8% | Red   | <b>\</b>          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | Year to date 29.7% amber for the year. The rate of re-referrals may be linked to changes to the pathway followed to access services for children and preparatory work on eligibility thresholds. An audit is now taking place to investigate our new practices and application of the agreed levels of need. |
| Safeguarding: % of assessments completed within 45 working days                            | Quarterly switched to monthly                                     | Previous quarter    | New indicator | 75 to 85% (Focus on timeliness and quality)             | High                       |                              |                                       | 87.5% | Green | $\uparrow$        | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 90.9% to date Green for the year   |
| Safeguarding: % of initial assessments completed within 10 working days                    | Quarterly switched to monthly                                     | Previous<br>quarter | See note      | 76 to 85% (Focus on timeliness and quality)             | High                       |                              | No Score                              |       |       |                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 86.8% to date Green for the year   |
| Safeguarding: % of core assessments completed within 35 working days 7b                    | Quarterly<br>switched to<br>monthly<br>reporting<br>after 1st qtr | Previous<br>quarter | See note      | 77 to 85% (Focus<br>on timeliness and<br>quality)       | High                       |                              | Nov<br>scored 0%<br>and so<br>was red |       |       |                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | The annual result is 71.9% As no new initial assessments have been started during the quarter, no data has been provided for this indicator.   |
| Looked after children: % of children who have had three or more placements within the year | Quarterly switched to monthly                                     | Previous<br>quarter | See note      | 7 to 9%   | Low                        |                              |                                       | 8.0%  | Green | $\rightarrow$     | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 8% to date Green for the year  |
| 9 Looked after children: % of children achieving permanence                                | Quarterly switched to monthly                                     | Previous<br>quarter | See note      | 16 to 18%   | High                       | _ = =                        |                                       | 29.3% | Green | $\rightarrow$     | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 29.3% to date Green for the year   |

| No Indicator   | Frequency of Reporting | Comparator<br>Period                              | Baseline<br>Performance                                    | Target 2013/14  | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline   | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member                    | Strat Director    | Indicator description   |
|--|------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Keeping Children Safe Indicator Commentary   |                        |   |  |   |                                 |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   |                                |                   |   |
|  |                        |   |  |   |                                 |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   |                                |                   |   |
| Narrowing the Gap  |                        |   |  |   |                                 |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   |                                |                   |   |
| Key Stage 2 attainment: The gap between the performance of those children eligible for Free School Meals and their peers | Annual                 | Previous<br>year                                  |  |   |                                 |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   |                                |                   | Summer 2013 FSM 44% Non FSM 83% NTG 39pp, The DfE has re-worked the summer  |
| 10   |                        |   | Summer 2012<br>FSM 53%<br>Non FSM 84%<br>NTG 31pp          | Gap of around 25 percentage points.   | Low                             |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 2012 results to better match the summer 2013 exam arrangements for Reading, Writing and Maths. This data has been presented here priority focus of work in the 2013/14 academic year relates to the LA monitoring the effective use of schools' pupil premium finances in order to reduce the gap between the performance of those pupils eligible for FSM and those not. Red for the year  |
| Key Stage 4 attainment: The gap between the performance of those children eligible for Free School Meals and their peers | Annual                 | Previous<br>year                                  | Summer 2012<br>FSM 26.2%<br>Non FSM<br>67.8%<br>NTG 41.6pp | Gap of around 35 percentage points.   | Low                             |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | Summer 2013 FSM 31.0% Non FSM 73.5% NTG 42.5pp. The DfE published their final GCSE results in late January. Although the GCSE results of Wokingham's FSM children has improved, the gap was larger than in summer 2012 as the performance of non-FSM pupils had also increased. There were 113 FSM pupils in summer 2013. Red for the year.   |
| % of young people who are classified as Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)  12                              | Quarterly              | Correspondi<br>ng quarter<br>for previous<br>year | See note   | Below 4.5% at year end  | Low                             |                    |                    |                      | 3.0%             | Green     |                 | 1                                 | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 3.0% for the year. This is the lowest the NEET percentage has been in Wokingham for several years. The validity of the figure is supported by an equally low "Not Known" rate of 2.9% meaning that we don't have high numbers of NEET young people hidden in the Not Known group.  Wokingham is performing well against the South East as a whole which, at the end of February, had 5.1% of its young people NEET and England which had 5.3%. Green for the year |
| % of young people in vulnerable groups[5] who are classified as Not in Education, Employment or Training (NEET)          | Quarterly              | Correspondi<br>ng quarter<br>for previous<br>year |  | Cohort too small –<br>should simply aim<br>for a reduction over<br>the year       | Low                             |                    |                    | No Score<br>Provided | 7.9%             | Green     |                 | <b>↑</b>                          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 7.9% of the vulnerable cohort was NEET at the end of March 2014. In the same quarter last year 13.7% of the vulnerable cohort was NEET  |
| Youth Offending: first time entrants to the Youth Justice System  14   | Quarterly              | Previous<br>quarter                               |  | Reduction on previous year (nationally subject to revised definition for 2013/14) | Low                             |                    |                    |                      | 0                | Green     |                 | <b>↑</b>                          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 23 to date Green for the year During 2013/4 there were 23 first time entrants to Youth Justice, compared to 29 in 2012/3.   |
| Youth Offending: rate of re-offending  15  | Quarterly              | Previous<br>quarter                               | 2012/13<br>24.3%   | Reduction on previous year  | Low                             |                    |                    |                      | 30.0%            | Amber     |                 | <b>\</b>                          | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor | Judith<br>Ramsden | 21.2 to date Green for the year. Baseline: Last completed 12 month cohort was 27/111 = 24.3% The 2013/14 financial year cohort is 66 young people. Q1 - 11.5% Q2 30.0% Q3 25% Q4 30% full year result is 21.2%  |
| Narrowing the Gap Indicator Commentary   |                        |   |  |   |                                 |                    |                    |                      |                  |           |                 |                                   |                                |                   |   |

|                                       | ndicator   | Frequency of Reporting   | Comparator<br>Period   | Baseline<br>Performance   | Target 2013/14   | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline                           | March 2014 Score  | March RAG      | Qtr 4 Sparkline  | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member   | Strat Director                                      | Indicator description  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|---|----------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| pc                                    | rtunity for All  |  |  |   |  |                                 |                    |                    |  |   |                |  |                                   |   |   |  |
|                                       | Key Stage 2 attainment: % of pupils achieving Level in both English and mathematics  | Annual, (two<br>updates –<br>provisional<br>and final)                 | Previous<br>year   | 2011/12<br>Average all<br>pupils 89%  | Average all pupils 90%   | High                            |                    |                    | No Score                                     |   |                |  |                                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor                              | Judith<br>Ramsden                                   | Summer 2013 Average all pupils 81%. The DfE I re-worked the summer 2012 results to better mat the summer 2013 exam arrangements for Readir Writing and Maths. This data has been presented here. Under this measure, the English average w 75% in both 2012 and 2013 |
| 7                                     | Key Stage 4 attainment: % of pupils achieving 5+A*-C GCSEs (inc English and mathematics)   | Annual (two<br>updates –<br>provisional<br>and final)                  | Previous<br>year   | 2011/12<br>Average all<br>pupils 65.6%  | Average all pupils 70%   | High                            |                    |                    |  |   |                |  |                                   | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor                              | Judith<br>Ramsden                                   | Summer 2013 Average all pupils 70.6% Green for the year  |
| 8                                     | Raising standards in schools: % of schools in Ofsted categories of good or better  | Quarterly  | Previous<br>quarter  | Dec 2012<br>published<br>data,(43   | 78% (46 schools)   | High                            |                    |                    |  | 80.7%   | Green          |  | $\uparrow$                        | Charlotte<br>Haitham<br>Taylor<br>Charlotte                 | Judith<br>Ramsden                                   | The latest data from Ofsted was published in Ma  |
| 8a i                                  | Raising standards in schools: % of primary schools of Ofsted categories of good or better  Raising standards in schools: % of secondary schools in Ofsted categories of good or better   | Quarterly  Quarterly   | Previous quarter  Previous quarter   |   | 78% (40 schools)   | High<br>High                    |                    |                    |  | 78.0%<br>100.0%   | Green<br>Green |  | <u>↑</u>                          | Haitham Taylor Charlotte Haitham                            | Judith<br>Ramsden<br>Judith                         | 2014 and covers inspection reports published be December 2013.   |
|                                       | rtunity for All Indicator Commentary   |  |  |   |  |                                 |                    |                    |  |   |                |  |                                   |   |   |  |
|                                       |  |  |  |   |  |                                 |                    |                    |  |   |                |  |                                   |   |   |  |
|                                       | 2 – Health & Wellbeing<br>Social Care – outcomes – these measur  | es relate t  | o safety a   | nd quality of li  | fe   |                                 |                    |                    |  |   |                |  |                                   |   |   |  |
| lult                                  |  | es relate t  | o safety a   | SE average  | 68% by qtr 1, 70%<br>by qtr 2, 70% by qtr<br>3, 70% by qtr 4   | High                            |                    |                    | No data<br>reco <mark>rde</mark> d in<br>Oct | 61.5  | Red            | N <mark>o data</mark><br>recor <mark>ded i</mark> n <mark>Jan</mark> | $\downarrow$                      | Julian<br>McGhee-<br>Sumner                                 | Stuart<br>Rowbotham                                 |  |
| lult<br>9                             | Social Care – outcomes – these measure<br>Proportion of people using social care who receive<br>self-directed support, and those receiving direct  |  | Previous   | SE average<br>61% @ qtr3  | 68% by qtr 1, 70% by qtr 2, 70% by qtr   | High<br>High                    |                    |                    | recorded in                                  | 61.5<br>65.3  | Red<br>Red     | No data<br>recorded in Jan   | <ul><li>↓</li><li>→</li></ul>     | McGhee-   |   |  |
| 9 9 0 0                               | Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct sayments Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services ** (NHSOF  | Quarterly  | Previous quarter Previous  | SE average<br>61% @ qtr3<br>2012<br>National<br>average   | 68% by qtr 1, 70%<br>by qtr 2, 70% by qtr<br>3, 70% by qtr 4   | _                               | No data recorded   |                    | recorded in                                  |   |                | N <mark>o data</mark><br>recor <mark>ded i</mark> n <mark>Jan</mark> |                                   | McGhee-<br>Sumner<br>Julian<br>McGhee-                      | Rowbotham<br>Stuart                                 |  |
| )                                     | Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct sayments Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services ** (NHSOF 8.6i) Delayed transfers of care from hospital, and those   | Quarterly  Quarterly  Monthly  | Previous quarter  Previous year  Previous  | SE average<br>61% @ qtr3<br>2012<br>National<br>average<br>2011/12 82.6%<br>National<br>average   | 68% by qtr 1, 70%<br>by qtr 2, 70% by qtr<br>3, 70% by qtr 4<br>85%<br>An average of 2.5<br>delays a month   | High                            | recorded           |                    | recorded in                                  | 65.3  | Red            | N <mark>o data</mark><br>recorded in Jan                             | $\rightarrow$                     | McGhee-<br>Sumner  Julian McGhee-<br>Sumner  Julian McGhee- | Rowbotham  Stuart Rowbotham  Stuart                 |  |
| 9 : 1 , uali                          | Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct payments Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services ** (NHSOF 3.6i) Delayed transfers of care from hospital, and those which are attributable to adult social care.  | Quarterly  Quarterly  Monthly  icator to capt benchmarking who were of | Previous quarter Previous year  Previous month  ure all those year activity to ledischarged into | SE average 61% @ qtr3 2012  National average 2011/12 82.6%  National average 2011/12 = 3.8  who are receiving a pok at comparison o reablement serv | 68% by qtr 1, 70% by qtr 2, 70% by qtr 3, 70% by qtr 4  85%  An average of 2.5 delays a month (Social Care)  a Personal Budget and with other areas. | High Low                        | recorded In May    | recorded in        | recarded in Oct                              | 65.3  2.49  in the communit   | Red Green      | recorded in Jan  | →  nany people r                  | McGhee-Sumner  Julian McGhee-Sumner  Julian McGhee-Sumner   | Stuart Rowbotham  Stuart Rowbotham  erm services ar | nd don't go on to have a personal budget set but ar  |
| g ::  9 ::  11  uali  linceable  dulf | Proportion of people using social care who receive self-directed support, and those receiving direct sayments Proportion of older people (65 and over) who were still at home 91 days after discharge from hospital into reablement/rehabilitation services ** (NHSOF 8.6i) Delayed transfers of care from hospital, and those which are attributable to adult social care.  Ity of life Outcome Indicator Commentary has been carried out on the Self Directed Support ind luded in the denominator for this indicator. Currently sment figures have now been collated for those people | Quarterly  Quarterly  Monthly  icator to capt benchmarking who were of | Previous quarter Previous year  Previous month  ure all those year activity to ledischarged into | SE average 61% @ qtr3 2012  National average 2011/12 82.6%  National average 2011/12 = 3.8  who are receiving a pok at comparison o reablement serv | 68% by qtr 1, 70% by qtr 2, 70% by qtr 3, 70% by qtr 4  85%  An average of 2.5 delays a month (Social Care)  a Personal Budget and with other areas. | High Low                        | recorded In May    | recorded in        | are services                                 | 65.3  2.49  in the community as later. This community as later. | Red Green      | recorded in Jan  | →  nany people r                  | McGhee-Sumner  Julian McGhee-Sumner  Julian McGhee-Sumner   | Stuart Rowbotham  Stuart Rowbotham  erm services ar | nd don't go on to have a personal budget set but are   |

| No  | Indicator   | Frequency of Reporting | Comparator<br>Period | Baseline<br>Performance               | Target 2013/14                 | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member | Strat Director      | Indicator description |
|-----|---|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Ten | ant Services  |                        |                      |                                       |                                |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                  |           |                 |                                   |             |                     |                       |
| 23  | Percentage and number of properties with valid Gas Safety Certificate   | Monthly                | Previous month       | LGSR<br>completed                     | 100% gas safety compliance     | High                            |                    |                    |                    | 99.62%           | Amber     |                 | $\downarrow$                      | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |
| 24  | The Percentage of Tenant Services homes that meet the decency standard.   | Monthly                | Previous year        | N/A                                   | 100% Decency by<br>March 2015. | High                            |                    |                    |                    | 57%              | Green     |                 | $\rightarrow$                     | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |
| 67  | The number of days taken to re-let a void property, both General Needs (GN) and Sheltered (S) and both combined (GN&S). | Monthly                | Previous<br>year     | benchmarking<br>partners<br>HouseMark | 28 Days                        | Low                             |                    |                    |                    |                  | Green     |                 |                                   | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |
| 67a | The number of days taken to re-let a void property for Sheltered (S)  | Monthly                | Previous<br>year     | benchmarking<br>partners<br>HouseMark | 28 Days                        | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 16.75            | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |
| 67b | The number of days taken to re-let a void property for General Needs (GN)   | Monthly                | Previous year        | benchmarking<br>partners<br>HouseMark | 28 Days                        | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 17.2             | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |
| 67c | The number of days taken to re-let a void property, combined (GN&S).  | Monthly                | Previous year        | benchmarking<br>partners<br>HouseMark | 28 Days                        | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 10               | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | John Kaiser | Stuart<br>Rowbotham |                       |

### **Tenant Services Indicator Commentary**

#### Gas Servicing

This month has seen a slight improvement in performance. BSW's staffing issues have now been resolved. They have replaced one of their administrative team with a more experienced member of staff. We are expecting this will lead to continued performance improvements.

Decency

# Housing Needs

| accepted.   | Quarterly | Previous<br>quarter | 30/60 | 30/61 | See note |  | Green | Green | $\rightarrow$ | Julian<br>McGhee-<br>Sumner | Stuart<br>Rowbotham | Preventions 31, Acceptances 8                  |
|---|-----------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------|--|-------|-------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|
| That the number of households using the Rent in Advance Loan Scheme continues as an accessible and effective help to those in extreme housing need.  The Accommodation Finder scheme continues to make excellent links with private sector providers and helps households move to a settled home. |           | Previous<br>quarter | 60/50 | 60/51 | high     |  | Green | Green | $\rightarrow$ | Julian<br>McGhee-<br>Sumner | Stuart<br>Rowbotham | Rent in Advance 27 and Accommodation Finder 6. |

### **Housing Needs Indicator Commentary**

26 green in as much as the Rent in Advance numbers are good. Accommodation Finder numbers are lower due to structures of HB changes, Welfare Reform and constricting accessible private rented sector. However the indicator is still green due to the Rent in Advance Scheme numbers.

### Table 3 – Neighbourhoods

Health and Wellbeing – Improving health, wellbeing and quality of life

| 27 | Active People Survey - % residents taking part in physical activity 3 times per week for 30 minutes | Annually | Previous<br>year | 2010/11 = 38.1%, 2011/12 = 40.6%,     | 41%     | High |  |       |       |               | Angus Ross Stuart Rowbotham  | Annual survey undertaken by Sport England (500 interviews across the borough). National survey                 |
|----|---|----------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|------|--|-------|-------|---------------|------------------------------|--|
| 28 | Visits to WBC leisure centres   | Monthly  | Previous<br>Year | See indicator description             | 420,000 | High |  | 39539 | Green | <b>↑</b>      | Angus Ross Stuart Rowbotham  | 456163 (full year)   |
| 29 | Physical visits to libraries  | Monthly  | Previous<br>year | See indicator description             | 465,000 | High |  | 37546 | Green | <b>' </b> `   | Pauline Heather Thwaites     | PI achieved target, and 0.25% higher than previous year.465793 (full year)                                     |
| 30 | To reduce Road Casualties, (Killed and Seriously Injured)   | Annually | Previous<br>year | 2010 = 37,<br>2011 = 46,<br>2012 = 38 | 41      | Low  |  | 52    | Amber | $\rightarrow$ | Keith Baker Heather Thwaites | Annual totals only – available sometime after the event the need is to continue the medium term downward trend |

Health and Wellbeing – Improving health, wellbeing and quality of life indicator commentary

| No             | Indicator   | Frequency of Reporting | f Comparator<br>Period | Baseline<br>Performance   | Target 2013/14       | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score             | March RAG                    | Qtr 4 Sparkline  | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member    | Strat Director      | Indicator description   |
|----------------|---|------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---|
| 80. T          | he number of KSI's for this year is the highest since   | 2007 (59). The         | e overall numb         | per of casualties ha  | as reduced from 367  | last year to                    | 314 this ye        | ear. The tre       | nd over the        | last 10 years is s           | till downwards.              | We will continue | e to deliver a                    | a programme of | Local Safety Se     | chemes.   |
| Γraf           | fic congestion = tackling congestion in   | specific area          | as of the B            | orough  |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |
| 31             | Average travel time on chosen roads   | Annually               | Previous<br>year       | 2011-2012 =<br>2.96   | <= baseline          | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 3.07                         | Amber                        |                  | <b>↑</b>                          | Keith Baker    | Heather<br>Thwaites | Journey Time Surveys from Model / Observation, Data is available but awaiting software to analyse. Chosen roads are the main roads that travel from north to south and east to west through the borough |
| Γraf           | fic congestion = tackling congestion in   | specific area          | as of the B            | orough indicat  | tor commentary       |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                | 1                   |   |
| nitiat<br>nake | estion has increased marginally when compared to ives are continuing to provide alternatives transport informed decisions on travel options.  Ste and Recycling – improving waste col | options and pro        | ovide residents        | s with personal trav  | vel planning advice. |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     | gestion hotspots and the MyJourney sustainable trave ional journey planning tools to enable residents to  |
| 32             | % of Municipal waste land-filled  | Annually               | Previous<br>year       | 2010/11 =<br>20.6%, 2011/12<br>= 15.3%,<br>2012/13 = 10%        | <10%                 | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 15%                          | Amber                        |                  | $\downarrow$                      | Angus Ross     | Heather<br>Thwaites | Landfill has increased in 2013/14 due to: 1, Higher allocation and tonnage at HWRs & 2, An increase i street sweepings which prior to May 2012 had beer recycled.                                       |
| 33             | % of household waste recycled   | Annually               | Previous<br>year       | 2010/11 =<br>41.1%, 2011/12<br>= 42.9%,<br>2012/13 = 41%        | >45%                 | High                            |                    |                    |                    | 42%                          | Amber                        |                  | $\rightarrow$                     | Angus Ross     | Heather<br>Thwaites | Recycling reduced by around 3% due to street sweepings going to landfill rather than being recycled.  |
| Was            | ste and Recycling – improving waste col   | lection, redu          | ucing landf            | ill and increas   | ing recycling in     | dicator c                       | ommenta            | ary                |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   | 1              |                     |   |
|                |   |                        |                        |   |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |
| ∕alι           | ue for Money – Offering Excellent Value t   | o Council T            | ax payers              |   |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |
| 34             | Spend per head on Countryside Service   | Annually               | Previous<br>year       | 2010/11 =<br>£4.12, 2011/12<br>= £3.82,<br>2012/13 =<br>£3.75   | <£3.60               | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | Scores<br>expected in<br>May | Scores<br>Expected in<br>May |                  |                                   | Angus Ross     | Stuart<br>Rowbotham | The Target is to improve income generation and reduce the net cost of delivery to the Council. This includes the cost of Prow & Bio-diversity advice.   |
| 35             | Spend per head on sports and leisure  | Annually               | Previous<br>year       | 2010/11 =<br>£0.69, 2011/12<br>= £0.86,<br>2012/13 =<br>(£0.26) | >(£0.40)             | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | Scores<br>expected in<br>May | Scores<br>Expected in<br>May |                  |                                   | Angus Ross     | Stuart<br>Rowbotham | Based on 2012/13 budgets. Introduction of leisure trust (C-Salt) has increased income from 2012/13 onwards  |
| Valu           | ie for Money – Offering Excellent Value t   | o Council T            | ax payers              |   | mentary              |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                | 1                   |   |
|                |   |                        |                        |   |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |
| Cus            | tomer Satisfaction – improving the cust   | omer experi            | ence                   |   |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |
|                |   |                        |                        | 2010/11 =   |                      |                                 |                    |                    |                    |                              |                              |                  |                                   |                |                     |   |

|  |                           |                      |   |                        | High or              |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 | 4th Qtr             |                                       |                     |  |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| No Indicator   | Frequency of<br>Reporting | Comparator<br>Period | Baseline<br>Performance                           | Target 2013/14         | Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score | March RAG         | Qtr 4 Sparkline | Direction of travel | Exec Member                           | Strat Director      | Indicator description  |
| 37 Overall satisfaction with Library service                   | Annually                  | Previous             | 2010/11 = 88%,<br>2011/12 = 88%,<br>2012/13 = 90% | >90%                   | High                 |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     | Pauline<br>Jorgensen                  | Heather<br>Thwaites | Library customer satisfaction survey figure provided in November 98.7% |
| Customer Satisfaction – improving the customer                 | omer experi               | ence indica          | tor commenta                                      | ary                    |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| 36. A small reduction in overall satisfaction. Analysis of the |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| where needed . During 2014-15 there are several project        | ts which will sig         | nificantly enha      | nce and improve o                                 | cycle facilities along | the A329 co          | rridor and         | in Lower Ear       | ley and also       | the MyJounrey    | team will continu | e to provide pe | rsonal travel       | planning advi                         | ce and active p     | romotion of cycle facilities in the borough.                           |
| Key Projects – delivering projects on time a                   | nd on budge               | et                   |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| Key project – Station Link Road – milestones met               | Monthly                   | Previous month       | 100%  | >99%                   | N/A                  |                    |                    | Ш                  | Green            | Green             |                 | $\rightarrow$       | Keith Baker                           | Heather<br>Thwaites |  |
| Key Projects – delivering projects on time a                   | nd on budge               | et indicator         | commentary  |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| Financial control – managing our budgets e                     | ffectively                |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| Underspend/ overspend against budget 39                        | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | N/A   | £49,000 or less        | N/A                  | Ш                  | _ 📕 _              | ш                  | £98k             | Green             | _               | $\downarrow$        | Keith Baker,<br>Pauline<br>Jorgensen, | Heather<br>Thwaites | Score includes £95k carry forward                                      |
| Financial control – managing our budgets e                     | ffectively inc            | dicator con          | mentary   |                        | 1                    |                    | <u> </u>           |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     | Jorgensen,                            | 1                   | <del>!</del>   |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| Table 4 – Resources  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| Income collection  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| 40 Council Tax collection                                      | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | 99.00%  | 98.85%                 | High                 | _==                | _ =                |                    | 99.29%           | Green             |                 | $\uparrow$          | Anthony<br>Pollock                    | Graham Ebe          | rs Exceed target   |
| 41 Business Rates collection                                   | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | 98.50%  | 98.50%                 | High                 |                    | _ = =              |                    | 99.07%           | Green             |                 | $\uparrow$          | Anthony<br>Pollock                    | Graham Ebe          | rs Exceed target   |
| Housing rents collection 42                                    | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | 98.50%  | 98.00%                 | High                 | _==                | =                  |                    | 100.51%          | Green             |                 | $\uparrow$          | Anthony<br>Pollock                    | Graham Ebe          | rs Exceeded target   |
| Income collection indicator commentary                         |                           |                      | <del>'</del>                                      |                        | <u>.</u>             |                    |                    | •                  |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| External customer satisfaction (support serv                   | vices)                    |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 |                     |                                       |                     |  |
| % first contact resolution - calls and emails                  | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | 55%   | 65%                    | High                 | П                  | _ = =              |                    | 55.10%           | Amber             |                 | $\downarrow$        | Pauline<br>Jorgensen                  | Graham Ebe          | rs   |
| 44 % of calls answered   | Monthly                   | Previous<br>month    | 93%   | 95%                    | High                 |                    |                    |                    | 87.60%           | Amber             |                 | <u> </u>            | Pauline<br>Jorgensen                  | Graham Ebe          | rs   |
|  |                           |                      |   |                        |                      |                    |                    |                    |                  |                   |                 | •                   | ] 3: 22::                             |                     | 1  |

| No | lı | INDICATOR                                  |         | Comparator<br>Period | Baseline<br>Performance | Target 2013/14 | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member          | Strat Director | Indicator description |
|----|----|--|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| 45 | 5  | Customer effort - repeat contacts to chase | Monthly | Previous<br>month    | 20%                     | 10%            | Low                             |                    |                    |                    | 4.80%            | Green     |                 |                                   | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers   |                       |

# External customer satisfaction (support services) indicator commentary

Internal Customer Satisfaction (support services) indicator commentary

43 & 44 These indicators are not weighted to account for busy months, March is a very busy month with council tax, benefits, waste renewals and delivery of blue bags all happening at once.

| Inter | nal Customer Satisfaction (support service                    | es)      |                     |     |     |      |   |  |                   |               |                      |  |
|-------|---|----------|---------------------|-----|-----|------|---|--|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|
| 47a   | Internal customer satisfaction IMT                            | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | 80% | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers   |
| 47b   | Service availability - outsourced IT contract (Northgate)     | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | 99% | 99% | High |   |  |                   |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers   |
| 47c   | Internal customer Satisfaction Operational Property           | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Alastair<br>Corrie   | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 47d   | Internal customer Satisfaction Strategic Property             | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Alastair<br>Corrie   | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 47f   | Internal customer satisfaction HR                             | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | 93% | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 47g   | Internal customer satisfaction Corporate Finance              | Annually | Previous<br>Year    | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Anthony<br>Pollock   | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 47h   | Internal customer satisfaction Transactional Finance          | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Anthony<br>Pollock   | Graham Ebers Score last recorded iand reported in the first Qtr  |
| 47i   | Internal customer satisfaction Administration                 | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 47j   | Internal customer satisfaction Wokingham Direct               | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 80% | High |   |  |                   |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 48a   | Meeting agreed Service Level Agreements Operational Property  | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 85% | High |   |  |                   |               | Alastair<br>Corrie   | Graham Ebers Score last recorded and reported in the first Qtr   |
| 48b   | Meeting agreed Service Level Agreements HR                    | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 85% | High |   | No data collected  | No data collected |               | Rob Stanton          | Graham Ebers Timeframes for advice are agreed with service managers and are provided on an adhoc basis. No data was collected specifically on this matter in 2013/14.  |
| 48c   | Meeting agreed Service Level Agreements Corporate Finance     | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 85% | High |   | No data collected but responses from Leadership Teams is | Green             | $\rightarrow$ | Anthony<br>Pollock   | Regular attendance at Service Leadership Teams on a quarterly basis to ensure satisfaction levels are maintained.  |
| 48d   | Meeting agreed Service Level Agreements Transactional Finance | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 85% | High |   |  |                   |               | Anthony<br>Pollock   | Covers 3 specific SLA targets with HR around employee issues as well as rent collection targets in SLA with Tenant. Regular monthly monitoring undertaken across all 4 indicators in existing SLA's Services |
| 48e   | Meeting agreed Service Level Agreements Administration        | Annually | Previous<br>quarter | N/A | 85% | High |   | No data collected  | No data collected |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers Data not yet available  |
| 48f   | Meeting agreed Service Level Wokingham Direct                 | Annually | Previous quarter    | N/A | 85% | High | _ | No data collected  | No data collected |               | Pauline<br>Jorgensen | Graham Ebers Data not yet available  |

| 49a   Member c/w   2011/12   Upper Quartile   Upper Quartile   Low   Quartile   Low   Quartile      | Change in ONS population Stats.  Annual results of benchmarking   |
|--|---|
| Value for Money (support services)  Population served per Corporate Finance Staff Annually Member civ  49b Member civ  49c Cost of Corporate Finance staff Annually  49c Cost of Corporate Finance staff  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of the Fit service pare employee against  49c Cost of  | Annual results of benchmarking  |
| Provision served per Corporate Finance Staff Annually 2011/12 Upper Quartile Upper Quartile Low Member c'w Mem | Annual results of benchmarking  |
| 498 Member ciw  2011/12 Upper Quartile  498 Member ciw  Amber Quartile  498 Member ciw ofter authonites of a similar size  499 Member ciw ofter authonites of a similar size  490 Member ciw ofter authonites of a | Annual results of benchmarking  |
| Apply   Appl   | <u> </u>  |
| 49c funning costs  Mar-13  2.25% Lower quartile  Low  Info  Annually  2012/13  Low  June of each  year  49f  Annually  2012/13  Low  June of each  year  Anthony  Pollock  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Pollock  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Anthony  Pollock  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Anthony  Pollock  Anthony  Pollock  Graham Ebers  Graham Ebers | This is discussed to  |
| accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Annually  Annually  Annually  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  Annually  Annually  Annually  Provious  Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts  Annually  An | This is discussed at the state of the state |
| Days from date of year end to submission of audited accounts    Annually   2012/13   Low   September   Of each year   Of each  | This indicator assesses the accuracy of our forecasting. The aim being to reduce the level of variation between the month 6 forecast and the outturn.   |
| The cost of the HR service per employee against comparators in neighbouring LA's  Annually  2012-2013  Lower quartile low  WBC did not take part in the CIPFA's Benchmarking  Walue for Money (support services) indicator commentary  49g Based on the CIPFA definition, which includes schools (we have only included our traded schools), our cost of HR per employee for 2012/13 was £229 against an average of £315. The CIPFA calculation has changed between 2011/12 and 2012/13 to now inclor the cost per headcount calculation. Excluding our casual workers the cost of HR per employee was £259. We are currently discussing within the service if the definition set by CIPFA best serves to analyse our costs going forward and may wish to amend this Ki  Project Delivery Group 2:  Technology Futures Programme status  Monthly  Previous  "Green" status  Green  N/A  Monthly  Previous  "Green status  Green  N/A  Ambor  Pauline  Greham Ebers  Greham Ebers  | Examines the effectiveness of finance by assessing<br>our ability to produce timely and accurate set of<br>audited accounts.  |
| 49g Based on the CIPFA definition, which includes schools (we have only included our traded schools), our cost of HR per employee for 2012/13 was £229 against an average of £315. The CIPFA calculation has changed between 2011/12 and 2012/13 to now inclode for the cost per headcount calculation. Excluding our casual workers the cost of HR per employee was £259. We are currently discussing within the service if the definition set by CIPFA best serves to analyse our costs going forward and may wish to amend this KI  Project Delivery Group 2:  Technology Futures Programme status  Monthly  Previous "Green" status Green N/A Green N/A Ambor  | HR participate in CIPFA's HR benchmarking club, cost per employee is £290. against our comparators of £312.   |
| 52 Technology Futures Programme status Monthly Monthly Previous "Green status Green N/A Jorgensen Graham Ebers Amber Amber Amber Graham Ebers  |   |
|  |   |
|  |   |
| Project Delivery Group 2 indicator commentary  |   |
| 53. The project has now officially moved into formal integrated system testing. The outstanding commercial challenges between WBC and UNIT4 have progressed following a meeting with UNIT4's Managing Director and the WBC project board. There are a number that 1st September will be the appropriate go live date to manage business risk and impact.   |   |
| Business Improvement   | r of challenges faced on the project which means  |
| Number of Benefit Sanctions Applied    Monthly   Previous   Monthly   Previous   Monthly   Previous   Monthly   Previous   Month   2011/12 - 26   23   High   No previous   Score   16   Amber   16   Amber   Andrew   Andrew   Moulton   Andrew   Moulton   Monthly   Previous   Andrew   Moulton   Moulton   Andrew   Moulton   Andrew   Moulton   Moulto | r of challenges faced on the project which means  |

| No | Indicator                              | Frequency of Reporting |     | Baseline<br>Performance | Target 2013/14 | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline      | Qtr 2<br>Sparkline      | Qtr 3<br>Sparkline | March 2014 Score | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member          | Strat Director    | Indicator description  |
|----|--|------------------------|-----|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| 69 | Proactive Anti-Fraud drive results     | Monthly                | N/A | New target              | £80k           | High                            | No<br>previous<br>Score |                         |                    | £88k             | Green     |                 | <b>↑</b>                          | Pauline<br>Jorgenson | Andrew<br>Moulton | Below profiled target of £72k. Slippage due to delayed start in year (new staff appointed). Target will be increased to £100k for 2014/15 and work continues to define relevant pro-active activities to target. |
| 70 | Value of benefit overpayments detected | Monthly                |     | 2012/13 -<br>£291k      | £200k          | High                            | No<br>previous<br>Score | No<br>previous<br>Score |                    | £388k            | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | Pauline<br>Jorgenson | Graham Eber       | S  |

# **Business Improvement indicator commentary**

Benefits Sanction:

The team have not met the sanctions target for 2013/14, though there are currently 12 cases that were investigated during 2013/14 pending legal action. 5 cases are awaiting Sanction Panel decision and two sanctions are being claimed in April 2014 as a result of the investigations being carried out in 2013/14.

Table 5 – Development & Regeneration

| Table 5 – Development & Regeneration   |           |                  |                     |   |      |  |  |  |                   |                    |                      |   |
|--|-----------|------------------|---------------------|---|------|--|--|--|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| Sustainable Communities  |           |                  |                     |   |      |  |  |  |                   |                    |                      |   |
| Number of dwellings completed 54   | Annual    | Previous<br>year | 273 (11/12)         | 1232  | High |  | 490  | Red  | <b>↑</b>          | John Kaiser        | Heather<br>Thwaites; | New target set 1232 from 926. Whilst number of dwellings is below target, latest forecasts indicates delivery will increase and that requirements will be achieved  |
| Number of affordable dwellings completed  55   | Annual    | Previous<br>year | est 90 (12/13)      | 100   | High |  | 91   | Amber                                      | <b>↑</b>          | John Kaiser        | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Number of affordable completions will increase in future alongside higher rates of housing delivery.  |
| 56a Total comparison goods expenditure   | Annual    | New<br>Indicator | £65.3 million       | £65.3 million                                   | High |  | Data for 13/14<br>currently<br>unavailable | Data for 13/14<br>currently<br>unavailable |                   | Philip Mirfin      | Andy<br>Couldrick    | Figure for 2013/14 expenditure unavailable as data yet to be published from financial year.   |
| Wokingham Town footfall (measured via car park usage – tickets sold annually)            | Annual    | Pervious<br>Year | 501,000 pa          | 626,000 pa by 2018<br>and 700,000 pa by<br>2023 | High |  | 523770                                     | Green                                      | $\uparrow$        | Philip Mirfin      | Andy<br>Couldrick    |   |
| Amount of premium retail space in Wokingham town Centre                                  | Annual    | New<br>Indicator | 5%                  | 5 % (17% by 2018)                               | High |  | 5%   | Green                                      | <br>$\rightarrow$ | Philip Mirfin      | Andy<br>Couldrick    |   |
| 56d WTCR Project Financial performance   | Quarterly | New<br>Indicator | 5% profit on cost   | 5% profit on cost                               | High |  | Green                                      | Green                                      | $\rightarrow$     | Philip Mirfin      | Andy<br>Couldrick    |   |
| 57 Wokingham shopper population  | Annual    | New<br>Indicator | 30000               | 30000   | High |  | 32,820                                     | Green                                      | <b>↑</b>          | Philip Mirfin      | Andy<br>Couldrick    |   |
| Number of dwellings permitted (countable within the syear land supply)  59               |           | Previous<br>year | 222                 | 1232  | High |  | 230  | Red  | <b>↑</b>          | Keith Baker        | Heather<br>Thwaites; | New target set 1232 from 926. Commentary - whilst number of schemes permitted below target, authorit at 1/4/14 had resolved to grant permission for furthe 900 dwellings. Therefore likely will achieve target during 2014/15. Furthermore, through inclusion of allocated sites in housing land supply, authority has at least a 5yr supply at 1/4/14.       |
| Numbers of affordable dwellings permitted (inc where off site contribution received)  60 | Annual    | Previous<br>year | 6% (13 in<br>11/12) | 30%   | High |  | 23%  | Amber                                      | <b>↑</b>          | Alistair<br>Corrie | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Commentary - Percentage below target is a consequence of a significant number of permission on sites providing up to 9 dwellings which do not require affordable housing together with a number of prior approvals for conversion of offices to residential. With more applications for large scale developments associated with SDL expected, % wi increase. |

| No  | Indicator  | Frequency of Reporting |                     | Baseline<br>Performance | Target 2013/14   | High or<br>Low score<br>is good | Qtr 1<br>Sparkline        | Qtr 2<br>Sparklin | March 2014 Score                               | March RAG | Qtr 4 Sparkline | 4th Qtr<br>Direction of<br>travel | Exec Member | Strat Director       | Indicator description  |
|-----|--|------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--|-----------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| 628 | No. of attendees at SDL Forums   | Monthly                | Previous<br>month   | New Indicator           | 100 Arborfield - 40<br>North Wokingham -<br>30 South<br>Wokingham and<br>South of the M4 | High                            |                           |                   | South<br>Wokingham 30<br>South of the M4<br>40 | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Jan 2014: 75 total North and South Wokingham Feb 2014: 60 - South of the M4 Forum  |
| 62k | % expressing satisfaction at SDL forums                                  | Monthly                | Previous<br>month   | New Indicator           | 60%  | High                            |                           |                   | South Wokingham 60% South of M4 N/A            | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; |  |
| 63a | % of minor planning applications decided within national KPI timeframes. | Monthly                | Previous<br>month   | 69%                     | 65%  | High                            |                           |                   | 59%  | Amber     |                 | $\downarrow$                      | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; |  |
| 63k | % of other planning applications decided within national KPI timeframes. | Monthly                | Previous<br>month   | 80%                     | 80%  | High                            |                           |                   | 92%  | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; |  |
| 64  | % of major applications approved by the Council                          | Quarterly              | Previous<br>quarter | 72% (to Dec)            | 80%  | High                            |                           |                   | 50%  | Red       |                 | $\downarrow$                      | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; | Numbers are very small for this return for the Jan - March 2014 qtr with only 2 major applications determined and 1 refused. |
| 65  | compliance at major planning appeals                                     | Quarterly              | Previous quarter    | New Indicator           | 70%  | High                            | No major planning appeals |                   | N/A  | N/A       |                 |                                   | Keith Baker | Heather<br>Thwaites; | No major appeal decisions received during Jan -<br>March 2014 qtr.   |
| 66  | Amount of s106 monies held spent or allocated to projects                | Monthly                | Previous quarter    | 53%                     | 80%  | High                            |                           |                   | 92%  | Green     |                 | $\uparrow$                        | John Kaiser | Heather<br>Thwaites; |  |

Development & Regeneration indicator commentary

63a – The national KPI is an annual return and we are currently achieving 68% within agreed timescales for the cumulative January – March 2014 period. We are continuing to increase the use of ETA's where appropriate although decision speed has dipped slightly during March.